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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=292 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.003 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.054$
$w R$ factor $=0.147$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=15.5$
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## Diethyl 2,3-bis(benzo[1,3]dioxole-5-carbonyl)-but-2-enedioate

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, is centrosymmetric about the central $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond, indicating that the molecule adopts a trans configuration and that the aromatic rings are parallel to each other. The crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular C H. . O interactions.

## Comment

Acceptor-substituted alkenes are important precursors (Helmut et al., 1999) for the Diels-Alder reaction used to obtain some cyclic compounds (Adembri et al., 1970; Celli et al., 1982). In the present report, the crystal structure of a new alkene, the title compound, (I), is reported. The molecule of (I) is centrosymmetric (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The length of the central $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}$ bond $[1.334$ (3) $\AA$ ] confirms its double-bond character [symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 1-y, 2-z$ ]. The configuration of (I), from symmetry, is trans and the two aromatic rings are parallel to each other. The aromatic ring is effectively coplanar with the five-membered $\mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{O} 1 / \mathrm{C} 7 / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{O} 2$ ring, as seen in the dihedral angle between their planes of $0.6(2)^{\circ}$. The crystal structure is stabilized by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular interactions as detailed in Table 2.

(I)

## Experimental

Potassium tert-butoxide $(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of 3-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-3-oxo-propionic acid ethyl ester ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF; 10 ml ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. To this mixture, a solution of $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 ml ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. THF was removed and water was added, followed by extraction with dichloromethane. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the compound was purified by column chromatography to yield (I) $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a green solid. Crystals appropriate for data collection were obtained by the slow evaporation of a methanol-chloroform solution of (I).
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## Crystal data

| $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $D_{x}=1.411 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ <br> $M_{r}=468.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| $a=11.1653(14) \AA$ | Cell parameters from 2001 |
| $b=13.3535(17) \AA$ | reflections |
| $c=7.738(1) \AA$ | $\mu=2.4-25.6^{\circ}$ |
| $\beta=107.082(2)^{\circ}$ | $T=292(2) \mathrm{Km}$ |
| $V=1102.8(2) \AA^{3}$ | Block, green |
| $Z=2$ | $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  |  |
| Data collection |  |
| Bruker SMART CCD area-detector | 2399 independent reflections |
| $\quad$ diffractometer | 1922 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.024$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\text {max }}=27.0^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad$ (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) | $h=-14 \rightarrow 13$ |
| $T_{\text {min }}=0.957, T_{\text {max }}=0.978$ | $k=-17 \rightarrow 17$ |
| 6336 measured reflections | $l=-9 \rightarrow 7$ |
|  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{10} \\
& M_{r}=468.40 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / c \\
& a=11.1653(14) \AA \\
& b=13.3535(17) \AA \\
& c=7.738(1) \AA \\
& \beta=107.082(2)^{\circ} \\
& V=1102.8(2) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=2
\end{aligned}
$$

$D_{x}=1.411 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
ell parameters from 2001
,
$\theta=2.4-25.6^{\circ}$
$T=292$ (2) K
Block, green
$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

2399 independent reflections

$R_{\text {int }}=0.024$
$\rightarrow-14 \rightarrow$
$k=-17 \rightarrow 17$
$l=-9 \rightarrow 7$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w= 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0737 P)^{2}\right. \\
&+0.2005 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.24 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.19 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refinement

| Refinement on $F^{2}$ | $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0737 P)^{2}\right.$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.054$ | $+0.2005 P]$ |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.147$ | where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$ |
| $S=1.08$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$ |
| 2399 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.24 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| 155 parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.19 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| H-atom parameters constrained |  |

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left({ }^{( },{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.526(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.334(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.503(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $118.02(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $124.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $121.60(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $119.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $115.89(14)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $179.01(19)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $-81.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $9.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $93.80(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $-172.13(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $1.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $94.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $177.64(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $-89.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 5$ | $-178.9(2)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+2$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.97 | 2.46 | $3.424(3)$ | 171 |
| C6-H6 $\cdots 4^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.93 | 2.49 | $3.364(2)$ | 157 |
| C11-H11B $\cdots 4^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.97 | 2.47 | $3.430(3)$ | 170 |
| Symmetry codes: (ii) | $-x+2, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{5}{2} ;$ | (iii) | $-x+1, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{3}{2} ; \quad$ (iv) |  |
| $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$. |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1
View of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by the symmetry operation $(1-x, 1-y, 2-z)$.

The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.96 \AA$ and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$, but each group was allowed to rotate freely about its $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. All other H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.93 \AA$ for aromatic H atoms and $0.97 \AA$ for methylene H atoms, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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